Microbial Diversity of Acidic Hot Spring (Kawah Hujan B) in Geothermal Field of Kamojang Area, West Java-Indonesia

Pingkan Aditiawati¹, Heni Yohandini^{2,3}, Fida Madayanti² and Akhmaloka^{2,*}

¹School of Life Science and Technology, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Jl Ganesha 10, Bandung, Indonesia

²Biochemistry Research Division, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Institut, Teknologi Bandung, Jln Ganesha 10, Bandung, Indonesia

³Department of Chemistry, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia

Abstract: Microbial communities in an acidic hot spring, namely Kawah Hujan B, at Kamojang geothermal field, West Java-Indonesia was examined using culture dependent and culture independent strategies. Chemical analysis of the hot spring water showed a characteristic of acidic-sulfate geothermal activity that contained high sulfate concentrations and low pH values (pH 1.8 to 1.9). Microbial community present in the spring was characterized by 16S rRNA gene combined with denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis. The majority of the sequences recovered from culture-independent method were closely related to Crenarchaeota and Proteobacteria phyla. However, detail comparison among the member of Crenarchaeota showing some sequences variation compared to that the published data especially on the hypervariable and variable regions. In addition, the sequences did not belong to certain genus. Meanwhile, the 16S rDNA sequences from culture-dependent samples revealed mostly close to Firmicute and gamma Proteobacteria.

Key Words: Kamojang, thermophiles, 16S rRNA, DGGE, microbial diversity.

INTRODUCTION

Molecular studies of geothermal ecosystems have provided many illuminating discoveries of microbial diversity. Culturing methods and molecular studies have uncovered a remarkable diversity of both bacteria and archaea in hot springs worldwide. Microbes that are metabolically active in extremely thermoacidic environments have attracted for their unique ecology and physiology as well as sources of heat and acid stable biocatalysts [1]. Solfataric fields are the most important biotopes thermoacidophilic microbes. Most of thermoacidophilic microbes that usually lived in solfataric fields belong to the archaea including the genera Acidianus, Desulfurolobus, Metallosphaera, Stygiolobus, Sulfolobus, Sulfurisphaera, Sulfurococcus, Thermoplasma, and Picrophilus [1]. Microbes belong to bacteria were also found including Acidithiobacillus, Acidimicrobium, Sulfobacillus and Hydrogenobaculum genera [2, 3]. These chemolithotrophic acidophiles often are the predominant primary producers and may also contribute to iron and sulfur cycling via oxidization of reduced inorganic sulfur compounds [2-4].

The presence of diverse acidophilic populations in both natural and man-made acidic environments has been demonstrated by cultivation-dependent and -independent approaches [5-8]. However, the studies of microbial community from Indonesian geothermal fields are very limited [9, 10]. Indonesia is a country with a number of volcanoes and a lot of geothermal area. There are at least 120 volcanic centers that are spread over volcanic belts of 7000 km along the Indonesian islands [11]. Kamojang is one of these geothermal fields that located in West Java, Indonesia, at an altitude of 1500 m. The Kamojang geothermal field is the first operational geothermal field for electricity power in Indonesia [12].

The Kamojang geothermal field is vapor-dominated but the hydrothermal minerals show that the rock-altering fluid were dominantly liquid. The primary minerals present in the Kamojang subsurface rock samples are mainly feldspar (andesine-labradorite), pyroxene (hypersthene and augite), and olivine (forsterite). In general, the primary minerals in the andesite lavas are less altered than those in the pyroclastic rocks. There are two distinctive hydrothermal mineral assemblages at Kamojang, namely the acid and the neutral assemblages, which occur in shallower and deeper levels, respectively. The acid assemblage occupies the shallower level of the system (from near surface down to 100-300 m), and is characterized by the presence of kaolin with or without smectite, alunite, quartz, cristobalite, and pyrite. The deeper, neutral assemblages, comprises quartz, adularia, albite, epidote, titanite, wairakite, laumontite, calcite, siderite, titanohematite, pyrite, anhydrite, smectite, chlorite, illite, and interlayer clays [13].

The surface manifestations in the Kamojang area consist of hot pools, fumaroles, mud pots and hot springs lying in the so called Kawah Kamojang thermal area. Most of the hot surface water contains high concentration of sulfate (1000-2000 ppm) but low concentrations of chloride (< 5 ppm) [14]. The isotopic evidence suggests that the water was local meteoric water which has been heated by steam containing

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Biochemistry Research Division, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia; Tel: 62-22-2515032; Fax: 62-22-2502360; E-mail: loka@chem.itb.ac.id

hydrogen sulfide, which oxidizes to sulfuric acid to give water of a low pH and high sulfate concentration [13].

Here we report the microbial community analysis of the acidic hot spring, namely Kawah Hujan B at Kamojang Geothermal Area, West Java, Indonesia. The analysis was based on culture-independent and culture-dependent strategies to get a first insight into the microbial communities in this acidic ecosystem. PCR amplification and DGGE separation of rRNA gene fragments were used to profile the microbial communities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site and Sample Collection

The Kamojang geothermal field is located in West Java Province, Indonesia, about 35 km south of Bandung. Kawah Hujan B (E 107°48'14.38", N -7°8'21.7", the altitude 1690 m) is one of the hot springs in Kamojang Geothermal field. The hot spring is an acidic-sulfate mud pot. Water samples were collected in June 2006. For assessing microbial diversity by culture-independent method, water sample was filtered through a 0.22-µm-pore-size cellulose membrane filter (Sartorius, Germany) within 4 h after sampling. The cells on membrane were re-suspended in 25 ml of STE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 0.1 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA) and precipitated by centrifugation. Pellet containing microbial communities were stored at -20°C until DNA extracted. Cultivation process was carried out by incubating spring water at 70°C after added by nutrients. Two nutrient composition were used as enrichment media, namely P (0.1% (w/v) peptone), and T (0.25% (w/v) tryptone; 0.25% (w/v) NaCl; 0.125% (w/v) yeast extract) media.

Geophysico-Chemical Analysis

pH and temperature were measured in June 2006. Measurement of cations concentration was performed using Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy/AAS (GBC Avanta Ver. 2.02) method and anions concentration was determined by titration, turbidimetry, spectrophotometry methods.

Bead Beating-Based DNA Extraction

The pellet containing microbial cells were mixed with DNA extraction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 100 mM sodium EDTA [pH 8.0], 100 mM sodium phosphate [pH 8.0], 1.5 M NaCl), glass beads and proteinase K (10 mg/ml) in microcentrifuge tubes by vortexing (Genie, G 560E, USA) at medium vigorous (half of maximum speed) for 15 min at room temperature. After mixing, 20% SDS was added, and the samples were incubated at 65°C for 2 h with gentle end-over-end inversions every 15 to 20 min. Supernatants were mixed with an equal volume of chloroform isoamylalcohol (24:1, v/v). The aqueous phase was recovered by centrifugation and precipitated with 0.6 volume of isopropanol at room temperature for 1 h. The pellet of crude nucleic acids were obtained by centrifugation at 16.000 g for 20 min at room temperature, washed twice with cold 70% ethanol, and resuspended in sterile deionized water.

Lysozyme-Based DNA Extraction

The pellet cells was suspended in 10 mM Tris HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 8 mg/ml of lysozyme and incubated

at 37°C for 1 h, the cells were lysed by adding lysis buffer containing 2% SDS, 0.8 mg/ml proteinase K and 200 mM EDTA pH 8.0. The lysis process was carried out by incubation at 50°C for 30 min. Ice cold potassium acetate and acetic acid glacial mixed solution were added and the denatured proteins were precipitated by centrifugation. Supernatants were mixed with an equal volume of chloroform isoamylalcohol (24:1, v/v). The aqueous phase was recovered by centrifugation and precipitated with 0.6 volume of isopropanol at room temperature for 1 h. The pellet of crude nucleic acids were obtained by centrifugation at 16.000 g for 20 min at room temperature, washed twice with cold 70% ethanol, and resuspended in sterile deionized water.

Amplification of 16S rRNA Gene Fragments

The amplification of partial 16S rRNA genes was carried out as described previously by Ferris [15]. A set of primers were used to amplify about 323-bp section of the 16S rRNA genes of members of the domain Bacteria, including the highly variable V7-V8 region [16]. One primer complements a region conserved among members of the domain Bacteria (Escherichia coli positions 1055 to 1070; primer sequence 5'ATGGCTGTCGTCAGCT-3'). The other primer is based on a universally conserved region (E. coli positions 1392 to 1406; 5'CGCCCGCCGCGCCCCGCGCCCGGCCCGCCG CCCCCGCCCCACGGGCGGTGTGTAC-3') and incorporates a 40-base GC clamp. The specificity of this primer is imparted by the underlined region. PCRs were performed by using cloned Taq DNA polymerase according to the instructions provided by the manufacturer (Promega). The temperature cycle for the PCR was 1 min of denaturizing at 94°C, 1 min of annealing, and 1 min of primer extension at 72°C. During an initial touchdown cycle, the annealing temperature was continually decreased from 53 to 43°C in intervals of 1°C per cycle; 20 additional annealing cycles were performed at 43°C. The final primer extension was for 10 min at 72°C.

DGGE and re-PCR of DGGE Bands

DGGE was performed by using D-code systems (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with a 1.5-mm gel. Approximately 100- to 500-ng portions of PCR products were used directly onto 8% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels with denaturing gradients from 30 to 40% (100% denaturant was 7 M urea and 40% [v/v] deionized formamide). Electrophoresis was performed with 0.5X TAE buffer (20 mM Tris-acetate, 0.5 mM EDTA) at 200 V and 60°C for 4 h. After electrophoresis, the gels were stained with silver staining method [5]. Each band in the DGGE gel was excised with a razor blade, added with TE buffer (10mM Tris-Cl, 1 mM EDTA), placed in boiling water for 5 minutes, and then incubated overnight at 37°C. The eluted DNA was amplified using the same primers as previous amplification but without GC clamp sequence. PCRs were performed at the following conditions: an initial denaturizing step at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 50°C, and 1 min at 72°C, and a final extension step of 10 min at 72°C. All of confirm DGGE bands were subjected to DNA sequencing. Sequencing was carried out in an ABI PrismR 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) by the Macrogen Sequencing Service (Korea).

Phylogenetic Analysis

The sequencing results were compared to 16S rRNA gene sequences from GenBank database at NCBI (National Centre of Biotechnological Information) through web site http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov using BLAST program [17] for screening of sequence similarity. Sequences alignments were performed by ClustalW program [18]. Aligning sequences were visualized using GenDoc program. Phylogenetic reconstruction was accomplished with the phylogeny inference package (PHYLIP version 3.62). Evolutionary distances were calculated by F84 method with the DNADIST program [19]. Phylogenetic trees were constructed from distance matrices by the neighbor-joining method [20], which was implemented with the NEIGHBOR program. The node reproducibility for tree topology was estimated by bootstrap analysis, which included 1000 replicate data sets. The trees were visualized by Treev32 program. Sequences were checked for chimeras using the CHECK_CHIMERA program of the Ribosomal Database Project [21].

Nucleotide Sequence Accession Numbers

All of the nucleotide sequences from this study have been deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers EU625430 to EU625445 and EU625464 to EU625473.

RESULTS

Geochemical Characteristic

Kawah Hujan B has temperature at $90-92^{\circ}$ C and pH 1.8-1.9. Chemical analysis of the hot spring water showed a high concentration of sulfate and Fe total ions. Some other metal ions, such as Mn, Pb, and Cu were detected in low concentration, as well anion such as chloride and nitrate (Table 1).

Table 1.	Concentrations of	of	Total	Soluble	Constituents	of
	Kawah Hujan B					

Analyte	Conc. mg/L
Са	9.48
Mg	0.23
Fe	11.81
Mn	0.22
Na	9.46
К	1.37
Pb	0.02
Cu	0.46
Cl	32.67
SO4 ²⁻	2,740.85
Nitrate (NO ₃ ⁻)	2.11
Nitrite (NO ₂ ⁻)	0.03
Flouride (F)	0.63

Chromosomal DNA and DGGE Profile

Two DNA extraction methods, lisozyme-based and bead beating-based methods were performed independently to

culture-independent (filtration) sample, while chromosomal DNA from culture-dependent (cultivation) samples were performed only by bead beating-based method. PCR amplifications of 16S rRNA gene fragments were successfully carried out for both filtration and cultivation samples. All of amplification results produced a single band in agarose gel electrophoresis with the size of approximately 400 bps.

DGGE profile of 16S rRNA gene fragments performed by filtration samples obtained from different cell lysis methods showed a different pattern. The different DGGE profiles were also performed by cultivation samples. Most of intense bands were appeared at the upper and lower sides of the gel (Fig. 1). However, some thin bands at the middle side of the gel were appeared on the filtration sample by enzymatically lysed and culture sample grown in P medium. Bands at the upper side of the gel showed a similar pattern. Most of the DGGE bands were successfully re-amplified and sequenced. The sequences estimated as chimeric artifact were excluded from further analysis.



Fig. (1). DGGE profiles of Kawah Hujan B samples. The individual bands that have been further analysis presented in number (1 - 17). Lane A-B, PCR product from filtration samples (bead-beating and lysozyme methods) respectively. Lane C-D, PCR product from cultivation samples (P and T media) respectively.

Phylogenetic and Diversity of Community

Microbial community present in acidic-sulfate containing hot spring was characterized by 16S rRNA gene fragment analysis. The majority of the sequences recovered from filtration sample obtained by enzymatic-based cell lyses method were affiliated with the Crenarchaeota phylum, and only one sequence was closed to *Pseudomonas* genus belonging to Proteobacteria phylum (Fig. 2). While the sequences recovered from filtration sample obtained by beadbeating method were performed slightly different lineage on phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3). Sequences affiliated with the Crenarchaeota were minority in filtrated sample obtained by bead-beating method. Most of sequences were close to gamma Proteobacteria group including *Aeromonas*, *Xenorhabdus*, and *Enterobacter* genera. In phylogenetic tree, the sequences closed to Crenarchaeota showed clustering in a distinct branch (Fig. 2).

Detail alignment of the sequences to closely related sequences from database showed that the sequences belonged to Crenarchaeota group showed many bases substitution compared to that the sequences available in the GenBank database (Fig. **4A**). Sequences variations were observed throughout the region that lied in the variable region as well as conserved region. Variation sequences were also observed on the sequences that closed to gamma Proteobacteria group. However, most of the differences were laid in the V7 and V8 hypervariable region (Fig. **4B**), particularly for the sequences closed to *Aeromonas* genus. Meanwhile the sequences closed to *Pantoea/Enterobacter* genus had relatively similar sequences compared to that the sequences available in the GenBank database (data not shown).

Microbial diversities from the cultivation samples were mostly different with the filtration sample. The sequences recovered from cultivation in P medium revealed as gamma Proteobacteria. Most of them were affiliated to *Pantoea/Enterobacter* genus, three sequences performed distinct cluster with other known microbes, and one sequences closed to *Pseudomonas* (Fig. 5). While, most of the sequences detected in T medium were closed to *Alicyclobacil*- *lus*, and only one sequence was affiliated with gamma proteobacterium (Fig. **6**).

Detail alignment for the sequence close to *Pseudomonas* genus revealed slightly differences with other sequences from the GenBank database. Most of sequence variation lied in variable region and one nucleotide difference detected in conserved region. While detail alignment for the sequences obtained from T medium that closed to *Alicyclobacillus* showed sequence variation, particularly in V7 and V8 hypervariable region (Fig. 7).

DISCUSSION

The Kawah Hujan B of Kamojang geothermal filed is a stressfull, natural environment with an extremely low pH, high temperature and high load of sulfate ion. Investigation of microbial diversity using culture-dependent and cultureindependent revealed that the microbes from culturedependent were belong to Crenorchoeota and Proteobacteria phyla while from culture-dependent belong to Firmicute and gamma Proteobacteria.

The present of Crenorchaeota in Kawah Hujan B was predicted since these organisms frequently found in extreme environment [22, 23]. Some species of Crenorchaeota are thermoacidophile growing in environment with high sulfate concentration [22]. Crenorchaeota was aerob, facultative anearob, and anaerob, chemoorganotroph and lithotroph, and able to use sulphur as energy source in their metabolism [24, 25]. In this experiment, most of microbes detected by culture-independent strategy were archaea suggesting that the Kawah Hujan B was suitable habitat for these microbes. A few reports showed that archaea were predominant microbes on the environments with extreme temperature (>90°C) [26], and most of thermoacidophile archaea were belonging to



Fig. (2). Phylogenetic relationships of the 16S rRNA gene fragment sequences obtained from the filtration samples using lysozyme cell lysis method and related sequences. Distance analysis was performed using the F84 correction, followed by phylogenetic tree construction using the neighbor-joining method of the PHYLIP software with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. (dashline), represented the samples.



Fig. (3). Phylogenetic relationships of the 16S rRNA gene fragment sequences obtained from the culture-independent samples using beadbeating cell lysis method and related sequences. Distance analysis was performed using the F84 correction, followed by phylogenetic tree construction using the neighbor-joining method of the PHYLIP software with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. (dashline), represented the samples.

Crenorchaeota phylum [27]. Furthermore, some hyperthermophilic archaea were known as reducing sulfur organisms [28]. Some genus of Crenorchaeota, such as *Sulfolobus* dan *Acidianus* were known as sulfur oxidicing microbes [29, 30]. High concentration sulfate ion in Kawah Hujan B indicated that there were sulphur oxidicing and reducing microbes [22]. However, homological analysis of 16S rRNA gene fragment of Crenorchaoeta from Kawah Hujan B showed that the sequences have many based substitution compared to that the GenBank sequences. In addition, the phylogenetic analysis showed that the organisms clustering in different branches. This suggested that the Crenorchaoeta from Kawah Hujan B might be distinct with other known organisms.

The microbes, obtained from enzymatic based-cell lyses were slightly different with physical lyses. *Aeromonas* and *Pantoea/Enterobacter* were only detected by physical based method while microbe belongs to *Pseudomonas* only found on sample lyses by enzymatic method, while, the archaea were detected by both methods. This again suggested that Kawah Hujan B is suitable for archaea niches. The fail to detect *Aeromonas* and *Pantoea* by enzymatic based lyses but successfully by physical treatment suggested that organism

Α

D85507_Sulfurisphaera_ohwakuen EF660336_Sulfolobus_vallisabys D85520_Stygiolobus_azoricus Y07784_S.hydrogenophila AB293224_Uncultured_archaeon_P AJ231195_Irosphaera_pallida_DS KHB-Z-11 KHB-Z-12 KHB-Z-10	*	20 TT	G. 	40 	* 6	60 *	80 A	75 77 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 72 73
D85507_Sulfurisphaera_ohwakuen EF660336_Sulfolobus_vallisabys D85520_Stygiolobus_azoricus Y07784_S.hydrogenophila AB293224_Uncultured_archaeon_P AJ231195_Isosphaera_pallida_D5 KHB-Z-11	* .CCC .CCCC.T.AC A.ACCCC GATT A.CCT A.CC	100 	*C	120 G G CG G AA.	* 140	*	160 G: G: G: G	157 157 158 158 158 158
KHB-Z-12 KHB-Z-10 D85507_Sulfurisphaera_ohwakuen EF660336 Sulfolobus vallisabys	* 1 	AA. cAC cTa ggGG 80 T.	GACtGCCG G	AA. AA CGGAG *	TA GAAGG GGGG c A 220	TAT. TAT. .CG CA GTCa c	240 CT.:	155 156 240 240
D85520_Stygiolobus_acoricus Y07784_s.hydrogenophila AB293224_Uncultured_archaeon_P AJ231195_Iacosphaera_pallida_DS KHB-z-11 KHB-z-12 KHB-z-10		T			T		CT. : CT : C : 	240 240 240 240 240 237 238
D85507_Sulfurisphaera_ohwakuen BF660336_Sulfolobus_vallisabys D85520_Stygiolobus_azoricus Y07784_S.hydrogenophila AB293224_Uncultured_archaeon_P	CCC GGGC CA	C CG G TaCAM * A G	280 .C	A GgG T C * A AA	gACcCCGaAAGGg 300 	GAGoCAAtCoC * : 300 : 300 : 300 : 300 	AAACCC geCg	

В



Fig. (4). Comparison of nucleotide sequences of DGGE band from culture-independent sample. A. Close to Crenarchaeota groups. B. Close to gamma Proteobacteria groups. (Grey block) represented hypervaribale region (V7 and V8); (.), represented same bases.

might form biofilms or spores in Kawah Hujan B. Formation of biofilm were one of microbial adaptation for dynamic condition of environment [26]. Furthermore, some microbes required to form biofilm for growth on the surface, especially for mud-associated growth mcrobes [31].



Fig. (5). Phylogenetic relationships of the 16S rRNA gene fragment sequences obtained from the culture grown in P medium and related sequences. Distance analysis was performed using the F84 correction, followed by phylogenetic tree construction using the neighbor-joining method of the PHYLIP software with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. (dashline), represented the samples.



Fig. (6). Phylogenetic relationships of the 16S rRNA gene fragment sequences obtained from the culture grown in T medium and related sequences. Distance analysis was performed using the F84 correction, followed by phylogenetic tree construction using the neighbor-joining method of the PHYLIP software with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. (dashline), represented the samples.

In this study some microbes found by cultureindependent were not detected in cultivation samples. This is probably due to some predominant microbes in natural samples belong as unculturable organisms, while microbes were less dominat in nature could grow better in compatible media. The presence of Firmicute, such as *Alicyclobacillus* in Kawah Hujan B is not surprising since this microbe often found in geothermal region [32-35]. *Alicyclobacillus* was thermoacidophilic microbe, Gram-positive and endospore forming [32, 36, 37]. *Alicyclobacillus* was aerobic and some showed several phenotypic properties such as the ability to oxidize iron, elemental sulfur and sulfides [34, 36]. These conditions were provided by Kawah Hujan B.



		2.0				00		
EU260136 Enterobacter sp. JA23	:							: 82
AB244430 Enterobactor en Alfa	• •	T					_	81
ABE44450 Encerobaccer sp. Aro						•••••		
AY/85257_Uncultured_gamma_prot	:	. T				• • • • • • • • • • • •		: 81
KHB-LB-2	:							: 82
AF333535 Uncultured gamma prot	:					.т		2. : 81
AV852181 Uncultured Commatimon	,					C C	3 0	T 83
Aroszioi_oncureu_oeamaciaon								
AB052965_Pseudomonas_spND137	:			T		• • • • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A	.T : 81
AY172151 Candidatus Competibac	:					GC	.AATT.C	3- : 81
	CTGTCGT	CAGCTCGTGT GI	GA ATGTTGGG	TTAAGTCCCGc	AACGAGCGCA	ACCTTatCCt'	TEGTIGCCAGCa aT	a
	,						reerreeeineeg gr	
	•	100		120	•	140	* 160	
EU260136 Enterobacter sp. JA23	: .C							: 165
AB244430 Enterobacter sp. A16-	: .C							. : 164
AV785257 Uncultured damma prot								164
AT705257_Oncarcarea_gamma_proc	• • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						104
KHB-LB-2	• • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						. : 165
AF333535 Uncultured gamma prot	: ,Ç.,,						C	. : 164
AY852181 Uncultured Gemmatimon	:G		G	.c			.	. : 166
AB052965 Recudomonae en ND137			G C	C	C		т	· 164
ABOSESOS Facultonias ap. Abest								
AI1/2151_Candidatus_Competibac	: .AT							. : 104
	G cGGGaAC	TCaAaGGaGACTG	CC GTGAtAAA	C GGAGGAAGG	TGGGGALGAC	GTCAAGTCATC	ATGGCCCTTACG a	G
	*	180	* 20	a *	220	*	240	
PU260126 Patershaster on Th22		180	* 20	• •	220	•	240	. 240
EU260136_Enterobacter_spJA23	*	180	* 20	• •	220	*	240 Ğ	. : 248
EU260136_Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430_Enterobacter_spA16-	*	180	* 20	•	220	*	240 G	. : 248
EU260136_Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430_Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257 Uncultured gamma prot	*	180	* 20 	•	220	*	240 	: 248 : 247 : 247
EU260136_Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430_Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257_Uncultured_gamma_prot KHR-IR-2	*	180	* 20	•	220	*	240 	. : 248 . : 247 . : 247 . : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257 Uncultured_gamma_prot KHB-LB-2	*	180	* 20	0 *	220	*	240 	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 248
EU260136_Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430_Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257_Uncultured_gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535_Uncultured_gamma_prot	*	180	* 20	0 •	220	* 	240 	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 247
EU260136_Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430_Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257_Uncultured_gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535_Uncultured_gamma_prot AY852181_Uncultured_Gemmatimon	*	180	* 20	0 * .CTC.T	220	* 	240 	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 248 : 247 : 249
EU260136_Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430_Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257_Uncultured_gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535_Uncultured_gamma_prot AY852181_Uncultured_Gemmatimon AB052965_Pseudomonas_sp. ND137	*	180	* 20	0 * .CTC.T .CA .TTC.AG.	220	* 	240 	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 247 : 249 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_sp. JA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_sp. A16- A7785257 Uncultured gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured gamma_prot AF333535 Uncultured Gemmatimon AB052965 Pseudomonas_sp. MD137 AY172151 Candidatus Competibac	* :	180	* 20 	0 +	220	*	240 	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 248 : 249 : 247 : 247
EU260136_Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430_Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257_Uncultured_gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535_Uncultured_gamma_prot AY852181_Uncultured_Gemmatimon AB052965_Paeudomonas_spND137 AY172151_Candidatus_Competibac	*	180	* 20 	0 *	220	*	240 	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 248 : 247 : 249 : 247 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_sp. JA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_sp. A16- AY785257_Uncultured gamma_prot KHB-LE-2 AF333535 Uncultured gamma_prot AY852181_Uncultured Gemmatimon AB052965_Paeudomonas_sp. ND137 AY172151_Candidatus_Competibac	*	180	* 20 	0 * .CTC.T .CA .TTC.AG .TTA G GCGA C C	220	*	240 G	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 247 : 249 : 249 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_sp. JA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_sp. A16- AY785257 Uncultured gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured gamma_prot AY852181 Uncultured Gemmatimon AB052965 Pseudomonas_sp. ND137 AY172151 Candidatus_competibac	*	180	* 20 	0 * .CTC.T .CA .TTC.AG .TTA G GCGA C	220	* 	240 	: 248 : 247 : 248 : 248 : 247 : 249 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 247
EU260136_Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430_Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257_Uncultured_gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535_Uncultured_gamma_prot AY852181_Uncultured_Gemmatimon AB052965_Pseudomonas_spND137 AY172151_Candidatus_Competibac	* 	180 	* 20 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	CT. C.T. .C. A. .T. C.AG. .TT. A. G GCGA C C	220 T. 	* 	240 G G G CAT AAAg gTCgtAGTcd	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 248 : 247 : 249 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_sp. JA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_sp. A16- AY785257 Uncultured gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured gamma prot AY852181 Uncultured Gemmatimon AB052965 Paeudomonas sp. ND137 AY172151 Candidatus_Competibac EU260136 Enterobacter sp. JA23	*	180 	* 20 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	0 * .CTC.T .CA .TTC.AG .TTA.G. G GCGA c Cl	220 	* 	240 	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 248 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_spA16- AT785257 Uncultured_gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured_gamma_tmot AY852181 Uncultured_gemma_tmot AB052965_Pseudomonas_spKD137 AY172151_candidatus_Competibac EU260136 Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_spJA25	* : 	180 GTGCTACAATGGG 60 *	* 20 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	0 * 	220 T. 	•	240 	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_sp. JA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_sp. A16- AY785257 Uncultured gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured gamma prot AY852181 Uncultured Gemmatimon AB052965 Paeudomonas sp. ND137 AY172151 Candidatus_Competibac EU260136 Enterobacter_sp. JA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_sp. A16- MY786552 Uncultured gamma-	* :	180 	* 20 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	0 * .CTC.T .CA .TTC.AG .TTA. G GCGA c C	220 	*	240 	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 247 : 249 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_spA16- AT785257 Uncultured_gamma_prot XHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured_gamma_tmot AB052965_Peeudomonas_sp. HD137 AX172151_candidatus_Competibac EU260136 Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_spA16- AT785257_Uncultured_gamma_prot	* :	180 	* 20 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	0 * .CTC.T .CA .TTC.AG .TTA.G GCGA C C	220 T 	•	240 G	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_sp. JA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_sp. A16- AY785257 Uncultured gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured gamma_prot AY852181 Uncultured Gemmatimon AB052965 Paeudomonas_sp. ND137 AY172151 Candidatus_Competibac EU260136 Enterobacter_sp. JA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_sp. A16- AY785257 Uncultured gamma_prot KHB-LB-2	* 	180	* 20 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	0 * .CTC.T .CA .TTC.AG .TTA. G GCGA c C	220 	*	240 	: 248 : 247 : 248 : 248 : 248 : 249 : 249 : 247 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_spA16- AT785257 Uncultured_gamma_prot XHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured_gamma_prot AY852181 [Uncultured_Gemmatimon AB052965 Pseudomonas_sp. ND137 AY172151 Candidatus_Competibac EU260136 Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257 Uncultured_gamma_prot KHB-LB-2	* : 	180 	* 20 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	0 * .CTC.T .CA .TTA.G. .TTA.G. G GCGA C C.	220 T. 	* 	240 G	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 247 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_sp. JA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_sp. A16- AY785257 Uncultured gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured gamma_prot AY852181 Uncultured Gemmatimon AB052965 Paeudomonas_sp. ND137 AY172151 Candidatus_Competibac EU260136 Enterobacter_sp. JA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_sp. A16- AY785257 Uncultured gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured gamma_prot	* 	180	* 20 	0 • .CTC.T .CAG .TTC.AG .TTC.AG. . *	220 	*	240 G	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 247 : 249 : 249 : 247 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_spA16- AT785257 Uncultured_gamma_prot XHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured_gamma_prot AY852181 Uncultured_Gemmatimon AB052965 Pseudomonas_sp. ND137 AY172151 Candidatus_Competibac EU260136 Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257 Uncultured_gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured_gamma_prot AF335355 Decudomonas_spNT37	* :	180 	* 20 	0 •	220 T. 	* A 	240 G	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 248 : 249 : 249 : 247 : 247 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257 Uncultured_gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AY852131 Uncultured_GemmaLimon AB052965 Pseudomonas_spND137 AY172151_Candidatus_Competibac EU260136 Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257 Uncultured_gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AY852131 Uncultured_gamma_prot XH85255 Uncultured_gamma_timon AB052265 Pseudomonas_spND137	*	180 	* 20 	0 • .CTC.T .CAG .TTC.AG .TTC.AG . •	220 	* 	240 	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 248 : 249 : 247 : 247 : 247
EU260136 Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430 Enterobacter_spA16- A7785257 Uncultured_gamma_prot XHB-LB-2 AF333535 Uncultured_gamma_prot A7852181_Uncultured_Gemmatimon AB052965_Peeudomonas_spND137 AY172151_Candidatus_competibac EU260136_Enterobacter_spJA23 AB244430_Enterobacter_spA16- AY785257_Uncultured_gamma_prot KHB-LB-2 AF333355_Uncultured_gamma_prot AY852181_Uncultured_Gemmatimon AB052965_Peeudomonas_spWD137	* :	180	* 20 	0 • .CTC.T .CA .TTC.A.G. .TTA. .G. GCGA.C.C. .*	220 	*	240 G	: 248 : 247 : 247 : 248 : 248 : 249 : 249 : 247 : 247 : 247

Fig. (7). Comparison of nucleotide sequences of DGGE band which is close to *Alicyclobacillus* (**A**) and *Enterobacter* (**B**) sequences. (Grey block) represented for hypervaribale region; (.), represented same bases.

CONCLUSIONS

Biodiversity of microbial diversity from an acidic hot spring at Kamojang Geothermal Field was performed based on culture-dependent and culture-independent strategies. The majority of microbes revealed from the culture-independent were close to Chrenorchaeota and Proteobacteria, however, detail analysis of the sequences closed to Chernorchaeota showed difference with other known sequence from the genbank. Meanwhile microbes identified by culture-dependent samples were closed to Firmicute and gamma Proteobacteria.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by grants from ITB Research Program to Akhmaloka and Incentive of Fundamental Research from Ministry of Research and Technology, Republic of Indonesia to Fida Madayanti, and BPPS Scholarship to Heni Yohandini.

REFERENCE

- [1] Bertoldo C, Dock C, Antranikian G, Thermoacidophilic microorganisms and their novel biocatalists. Eng Life Sci 2004; 4: 521-532
- Cleaver AA, Burton NP, Norris PR. A novel Acidimicrobium [2] species in continuous cultures of moderately thermophilic, mineral-sulfide-oxidizing acidophiles. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73: 4294-4299.
- Mathur J, Bizzoco RW, Ellis DG, et al. Effects of abiotic factors [3] on the phylogenetic diversity of bacterial communities in acidic thermal springs. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73: 2612-2623.
- [4] Hamamura N, Olson SH, Ward DM, Inskeep WP. Diversity and functional analysis of bacterial communities associated with natural hydrocarbon seeps in acidic soils at rainbow springs, yellowstone national park. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005; 71: 5943-5950.
- Bond PL, Druschel GK, Banfield JF. Comparison of acid mine [5] drainage microbial communities in physically and geochemically distinct ecosystems. Appl Environ Microbiol 2000; 66: 4962-4971.
- Burton NP, Norris PR. Microbiology of acidic, geothermal springs [6] of Montserrat: environmental rDNA analysis. Extremophiles 2000; 4: 315-320.
- [7] Goebel BM, Stackebrandt E. Cultural and phylogenetic analysis of mixed microbial populations found in natural and commercial bioleaching environments. Appl Environ Microbiol 1994; 60: 1614-1621.
- [8] Gonzalez-Toril E, Llobet-Brossa E, Casamayor EO, Amann R, Amils R. Microbial ecology of an extreme acidic environment, the Tinto River. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003; 69: 4853-4865.
- Aminin ALN, Warganegara FM, Aditiawati P, Akhmaloka. [9] Culture-independent and culture-dependent approaches on microbial community analysis at Gedongsongo (GS-2) hot spring. IJIB 2008; 2: 145-152.
- Lohr AJ, Laverman AM, Braster M, van Straalen NM, Roling [10] WFM. Microbial communities in the World's largest acidic volcanic lake, kawah ijen in indonesia, and in the banyupahit river originating from it. Microb Ecol 2006; 52: 609-618.
- [11] Kusumadinata K. Catalogue of References on Indonesian Volcanoes with Eruptions in Historical Time. Department of Mining and Energy: Bandung 1979.
- [12] Sudarman S, Boedihardi M, Pudyastuti K, Bardan. Kamojang Geothermal Field: 10 Year Operation Experience. Proc. of the World Geothermal Congress; Florence, Italy: Elsevier 1995; pp. 1773-1777.
- Utami P. Characteristics of the kamojang geothermal reservoir [13] (west java) as revealed by its hydrothermal alteration mineralogy. Proceedings World Geothermal Congress 2000; Kyushu - Tohoku, Japan 2000.
- [14] Healy J, Mahon WAJ. Kawah kamojang geothermal field, west java, indonesia. Proc. of Pacific Geothermal, Conference incorporating the 4th New Zealand Geothermal Workshop; Newzeland: The University of Auckland 1982; pp. 313-319.
- [15] Ferris MJ, Muyzer G, Ward DM. Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis profiles of 16S rRNA-defined populations inhabiting a hot spring microbial mat community. Appl Environ Microbiol 1996; 62: 340-346.
- Baker GC, Smith JJ, Cowan DA. Review and re-analysis of [16] domain-specific 16S primers. Microbiol Methods 2003; 55: 541-555.
- [17] Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 1990; 215: 403-410.

Revised: March 24, 2009

Accepted: March 25, 2009

- [18] Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 1994; 22: 4673-4680.
- [19] Felsenstein J. PHYLIP- phylogeny inference package. Cladistic 1989: 5: 164-166.
- [20] Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 1987; 4: 406-425.
- Maidak BL, Cole JR, Lilburn TG, et al. The RDP (Ribosomal [21] Database Project) continues. Nucleic Acids Res 2000; 28: 173-174.
- [22] Boyd ES, Jackson RA, Encarnacion G, et al. Isolation, characterization, and ecology of sulfur-respiring crenarchaea inhabiting acidsulfate-chloride-containing geothermal springs in yellowstone national park. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73: 6669-6677.
- [23] Kozubal M, Macur RE, Korf S, et al. Isolation and distribution of a novel iron-oxidizing crenarchaeon from acidic geothermal springs in yellowstone national park. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008; 74: 942-949.
- [24] Bintrim SB, Donohue TJ, Handelsman J, Roberts GP, Goodman RM. Molecular phylogeny of Archaea from soil. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997; 94: 277-282.
- Hershberger KL, Barns SM, Reysenbach AL, Dawson SC, Pace [25] NR. Wide diversity of Crenarchaeota. Nature 1996; 384: 420.
- Reysenbach AL, Shock E. Merging genomes with geochemistry in [26] hydrothermal ecosystems. Science 2002; 296: 1077-1082.
- [27] Prokofeva M, Miroshnichenko M, Kostrikina N, et al. Acidilobus aceticus gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel anaerobic thermoacidophilic Archaeon From continental hot vents in Kamchatka. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2000; 50: 2001-2008.
- Hao X, Ma K. Minimal sulfur requirement for growth and [28] sulfur-dependent metabolism of the hyperthermophilic archaeon Staphylothermus marinus. Archaea 2003; 1: 191-197.
- [29] Schafer G, Engelhard M, Muller V. Bioenergetics of the archaea. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 1999; 63: 570-620.
- [30] Schönheit P, Schäfer T. Metabolism of hyperthermophiles. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 1995; 11: 26-57.
- Allen JP, Atekwana EA, Atekwana EA, Duris JW, Werkema DD, [31] Rossbach S. The microbial community structure in petroleumcontaminated sediments corresponds to geophysical signatures. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73: 2860-2870.
- Eckert K, Schneider E. A thermoacidophilic endoglucanase (CelB) [32] from Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius displays high sequence similarity to arabinofuranosidases belonging to family 51 of glycoside hydrolases. Eur J Biochem 2003; 270: 3593-3602.
- [33] Simbahan J, Drijber R, Blum P. Alicyclobacillus vulcanalis sp. nov., a thermophilic, acidophilic bacterium isolated from Coso hot springs, California, USA. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2004; 54: 1703-1707.
- Aminin ALN, Madayanti F, Aditiawati P, Akhmaloka. Simple [34] Enrichment and independent culture to expand bacterial community analysis from gedongsongo hot spring. J Biosci Bioeng 2008; 106: 211-214.
- [35] Yohandini H, Madayanti F, Aditiawati P, Akhmaloka. Diversity of microbial thermophiles in a neutral hot spring (Kawah Hujan A) of Kamojang Geothermal Field, Indonesia. J Pure Appl Microbiol 2008; 2: 283-294.
- Karavaiko GI, Bogdanova TI, Tourova TP, et al. Reclassification [36] of 'Sulfobacillus thermosulfidooxidans subsp. thermotolerans' strain K1 as Alicyclobacillus tolerans sp. nov. and Sulfobacillus disulfidooxidans Dufresne et al. 1996 as Alicyclobacillus disulfidooxidans comb. nov., and emended description of the genus Alicyclobacillus. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2005; 55: 941-947.
- Matsubara H, Goto K, Matsumura T, et al. Alicyclobacillus [37] acidiphilus sp. no3v., a novel thermo-acidophilic, ù-alicyclic fatty acid-containing bacterium isolated from acidic beverages. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2002; 52: 1681-1685.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

Received: March 18, 2009

[©] Aditiawati et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.