

INSECTICIDE RESISTANCE AND EFFECT OF PIPERONYL BUTOXIDE AS A SYNERGIST IN THREE STRAINS OF *Aedes aegypti* (Linn.) (Diptera: Culicidae) ON INSECTICIDES PERMETHRIN, CYPERMETHRIN, AND D-ALLETHRIN

Sita Astari¹, Intan Ahmad¹

UJI RESISTENSI DAN EFEK PIPERONYL BUTOXIDE SEBAGAI SINERGIS PADA TIGA STRAIN NYAMUK *Aedes aegypti* (Linn.) (Diptera: Culicidae) TERHADAP INSEKTISIDA PERMETRIN, CYPERMETRIN, DAN D-ALLETRIN

Abstrak. Tiga strain nyamuk *Aedes aegypti* yang dipelihara di laboratorium dan dikoleksi dari lapangan diuji menggunakan tiga jenis insektisida dari golongan piretroid (permetrin, cypermetrin, dan d-alletrin) dengan metode bottle bioassay untuk mengetahui tingkatan dan mekanisme dari resistensi yang terjadi terhadap insektisida piretroid. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa ketiga strain yang diuji kemungkinan telah resisten terhadap ketiga insektisida tersebut, termasuk strain-strain yang telah dipelihara selama beberapa generasi di laboratorium, yang diindikasikan dengan nilai LT_{90} yang tinggi. Hasil ini mengindikasikan bahwa terdapat aktivitas MFO (Mixed-Function Oxidase) pada ketiga strain yang diuji, dimana mekanisme tersebut mungkin berperan dalam menimbulkan resistensi pada ketiga strain, meskipun diduga terdapat mekanisme lain yang juga ikut terlibat.

Kata kunci: *Aedes aegypti*, cypermetrin, d-alletrin, permetrin, PBO, resistensi

INTRODUCTION

Aedes aegypti is the primary vector for dengue and dengue haemorrhagic fever in South East Asia, followed by *Aedes albopictus* as the secondary vector⁽¹⁾. Mosquito control using synthetic chemical insecticides has created new problem; the resistance of mosquitoes to insecticides. Insecticides commonly used today are pyrethroids, and the resistance of mosquitoes to pyrethroids has become a common phenomenon. Some mosquito species in many parts of the world, including *Ae. aegypti* in Thailand, Indonesia, and Puerto Rico are already resistant to pyrethroids⁽²⁾. Research conducted by Butar Butar⁽³⁾ and Arief⁽⁴⁾ showed that *Ae. aegypti* collected in Bandung and other cities in West Java, Indonesia tend to be resistant to a variety of insecticides, including pyrethroids.

Research on *A. aegypti* in Thailand showed that those mosquitoes which were resistant to pyrethroids were usually also resistant to DDT⁽⁵⁾, which proves that resistance to pyrethroids could be the result of cross resistance.

To overcome resistance to pyrethroids, synergists are often used. Synergists are compounds which work by inhibiting the activities of detoxifying enzymes, such as DEF (S,S,S-tributyl phosphorothioate) that inhibits esterase enzymes and PBO (Piperonyl Butoxide) that inhibits MFO (Mixed-Function Oxidases)⁽⁶⁾. However, adding some synergists will only be effective if the resistance is due to biochemical mechanisms which involve the detoxifying enzymes⁽⁷⁾. It will not be effective if the mechanism is the target site insensitivity which involves resistant gene *kdr*⁽⁸⁾. This *kdr* type resistance has been found in the

¹ Institut Teknologi Bandung

Ae. aegypti strain in Indonesia and Puerto Rico⁽²⁾.

Our research describes the resistance level of three strains of *Ae. aegypti* from different locations, as well testing the mechanisms involved in the resistant strain. PBO was added as a synergist.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Mosquito Strains. Three strains of *Ae. aegypti* were used in this research. Two strains were reared for several generations in the entomology laboratory at U.S. NAMRU-2 Jakarta (NAMRU-2 strain), one was from the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Institut Pertanian Bogor (IPB strain), and one strain (ITB strain) was collected from the area surrounding the Institut Teknologi Bandung. All strains were maintained in the laboratory.

Insecticides. Pyrethroid insecticides were used in this research in the form of active ingredients. They were: permethrin 92 %, cypermethrin 92 %, and d-allethrin 93%, provided by PT Triman Sentosatama, Jakarta. Piperonyl butoxide (PBO) was used as the synergist and technical acetone was used as a solvent.

Bioassay Procedure. The method used in this study was a standard bottle bioassay developed by CDC in the U.S.⁽⁹⁾ with little adjustment which uses time mortality as a parameter. Since the insecticides used were active ingredients, stock solutions had to be prepared by diluting the insecticide with acetone. To prepare a test bottle, 20 µL of the stock solution (containing 7500 mg permethrin/mL) was transferred by pipette into the bottle (about 315 mL in volume) and acetone was added to dilute the pesticide further. The bottle then was rolled over to spread the pesticide across the inner surface and then left open in order to evaporate the acetone and

obtain a test bottle containing permethrin 150 µg/bottle. The same steps were carried out for other insecticides as well; the total amount of insecticide inside the test bottles for cypermethrin was 70 µg/bottle and d-allethrin 180 µg/bottle. These numbers were based on the results of preliminary testing performed previously. For tests using PBO, PBO was added to the test bottles in addition to the insecticide at a ratio of 4:1 (PBO: insecticides). As for control, only acetone was added to the control test bottles without any other ingredients.

Some 10 to 15 adult mosquitoes were placed into the test bottle, which was then closed. Then, the knockdown time (KT) and mortality time (LT/Lethal Time) were observed and determined. Knockdown time was determined by counting the number of mosquitoes knocked down at 5 minutes intervals until all the mosquitoes in the bottle had been affected. The time needed for all mosquitoes inside the test bottle to fall to the bottom was termed as the knockdown time (KT₁₀₀). Mortality time (LT) was determined by counting the number of mosquitoes that died every hour for a maximum of 5 hours, or until all mosquitoes in the test bottle were dead. Mosquitoes were considered dead if they did not show any movement when stimulated.

Data Analysis. Data gained from the bioassay were analyzed using probit analysis to obtain the LT₉₀ and KT₁₀₀ values. For the synergist effect, SRs (Synergist Ratios) were counted by dividing LT₉₀s for bioassays without a synergist to LT₉₀s with a synergist⁽¹⁰⁾. SR values were said to be significant if the statistic tests using T-test showed significant difference between LT₉₀ values with and without the PBO. To compare the susceptibility levels between

the three strains, statistic tests using ANOVA were conducted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Resistance Tests and Resistance Mechanisms

LT₉₀ values in all three strains were high, indicating that these strains were probably resistant to d-allethrin (Table 1). The strain with the highest LT₉₀ values was ITB; therefore, this strain was probably the most resistant to d-allethrin compared to other strains, while the most susceptible strain was the IPB strain. Both the NAMRU-2 and IPB strains, which were assumed not to have been exposed to any insecticides, were also apparently resistant to d-allethrin. The reason for this result is unknown, but it is possible that mosquitoes from US NAMRU-2 and IPB had been exposed to at least one type of insecticides from the class of pyrethroids or DDT, which lead to cross-resistance. Another possibility is that the strains had undergone some change in gene composition whilst being reared in the laboratory. A study of

some strains of *Blattella germanica* showed that rearing in the laboratory for several generations could increase resistant gene frequency, which would lead to an increase in the resistance ratio of the population, especially if the resistant individuals had at least the same or higher reproductive potential⁽⁸⁾.

In the NAMRU-2 and ITB strains, the addition of PBO tended to reduce the LT₉₀s, which meant that the mortality rate increased (SR > 1). In the IPB strain, the significantly increased mortality rate indicated that MFO activities might be involved in the occurrence of resistance, while in the NAMRU-2 strain, the increase was not significant. It was probable that the strain was resistant but by different mechanisms. The reduction of LT₉₀ values, which was not significantly different, could not prove that the strain was resistant, because even in a susceptible strain, MFO activities also occurred, although only at a low level, so the addition of PBO could reduce the mortality time of the strain, even if only slightly⁽⁸⁾.

Table 1. Mortality Time (LT₉₀) for d-Allethrin

Strain	Without PBO		With PBO		SR
	n	LT ₉₀ (hour)	n	LT ₉₀ (hour)	
NAMRU	95	13.55 ^b	67	11.43 ^a	1.19
IPB	71	9.55 ^c	61	14.51 ^b	0.66*
ITB	10	43.38 ^a	10	15.23 ^b	2.85*

- Means within column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ($p > 0.05$)
- * shows SR values that significantly different ($p < 0.05$)
- n = number of mosquitoes tested

In the IPB strain, the mortality rate decreased significantly after the addition of PBO. In some cases, the use of synergists at the same time as the application of insecticide could inhibit the penetration of the insecticide through the cuticle, therefore reducing the amount of insecticide entering the insect's body ⁽⁷⁾, the result of which was that the toxicity effect would also be reduced. However, it could not be concluded whether this strain is resistant or not, because although the LT₉₀ for this strain was high, it was relatively low when compared to other strains and the use of PBO did not reduce the LT₉₀ value.

The results of the bioassay with permethrin showed that the LT₉₀ for all three strains was high (Table 2). The ITB strain were collected directly from the field and were assumed to have been exposed to many insecticides. However, they were apparently the most susceptible compared to other strains, while the NAMRU-2 strain was the most resistant. This result was supported by the results of studies by Butar Butar ⁽³⁾ and Arief ⁽⁴⁾ which showed that the NAMRU-2 strain were already resistant to permethrin.

The addition of PBO to the ITB and IPB strains only slightly reduced the LT₉₀ values, while in the NAMRU-2 strain the reduction was significant (SR = 1,72). This result showed that MFO were involved in causing resistance to permethrin in the NAMRU-2 strain, while in the ITB and IPB strains, the MFO were only slightly involved and there were other mechanisms or the resistance levels were low.

The results for the bioassay with cypermethrin showed that all three strains were resistant to this insecticide (Table 3). The LT₉₀ values were high and the addition of PBO increased the mortality rate (reduced LT₉₀ values) significantly for all three strains, indicating that resistance depends on MFO activity.

As can be seen in Figure 1, all three strains were apparently resistant to more than one pyrethroid, as indicated by relatively high LT₉₀ values and the reduction of LT₉₀s after addition of PBO. It is possible that in all three strains, crossresistance between pyrethroids with similar modes of action has been developed, as commonly happens ⁽¹¹⁾.

Table 2. Mortality Rate (LT₉₀) for Permethrin

Strain	Without PBO		With PBO		SR
	n	LT ₉₀ (hour)	n	LT ₉₀ (hour)	
NAMRU	86	23.21 ^a	65	13.50 ^a	1.72*
IPB	69	10.68 ^b	67	9.72 ^{ab}	1.10
ITB	10	9.30 ^b	12	8.14 ^b	1.14

- Means within column followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p > 0.05)
- * shows SR values that significantly different (p < 0.05)
- n = number of mosquitoes tested

Table 3. Mortality Rate (LT₉₀) for Cypermethrin

Strain	Without PBO		With PBO		SR
	n	LT ₉₀ (hour)	n	LT ₉₀ (hour)	
NAMRU	87	30.27 ^a	68	11.22 ^a	2.70*
IPB	67	12.54 ^c	65	7.62 ^{ab}	1.65*
ITB	10	24.00 ^d	10	1.10 ^b	21.22*

- Means within column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ($p > 0.05$)
- * shows SR values that significantly different ($p < 0.05$)
- n = number of mosquitoes tested

Table 4. The Average Knockdown Rate (KT/ Knockdown Time)

Insecticides	Strain	Without PBO		With PBO	
		n	KT ₁₀₀ (Min.)	n	KT ₁₀₀ (Min.)
<i>D-Allethrin</i>	NAMRU*	95	8.99	67	5.45
	IPB	71	5.00	61	5.00
	ITB	10	5.00	10	5.00
Permethrin	NAMRU*	86	9.82	65	8.23
	IPB*	69	8.04	67	6.12
	ITB	10	7.50	12	8.33
Cypermethrin	NAMRU	87	9.02	68	9.26
	IPB*	67	9.10	65	5.00
	ITB*	10	10.00	10	7.00

- * shows the reduction of KT₁₀₀ after the addition of PBO
- n = number of mosquitoes tested

However, the exact level of resistance of the strains tested could not be measured because there was no standard strain to be compared to. NAMRU-2 and IPB strain, which were supposed to be susceptible, turned out to be resistant as well. Nonetheless, the relatively high values of LT₉₀ (before the addition of PBO), mostly after more than 10 hours, showed the possibility of resistance, although the addition of PBO sometimes only slightly lowered the LT₉₀ values.

In most cases, the addition of PBO did not completely lower the LT₉₀s, and the LT₉₀ values remained high, except for the ITB strain with cypermethrin. This

indicated the involvement of other resistance mechanisms in addition to MFO, which meant that multiple resistance has occurred and that the mechanisms had developed independently for each insecticide.

Knockdown Effect

The Knockdown Effect is a characteristic of pyrethroids. It happens immediately after the insects are exposed to pyrethroids⁽¹²⁾. Therefore, if the time needed for insects to be knocked down increases, it indicates that the insects may be resistant to the insecticide⁽⁸⁾. When insects are exposed to pyrethroids, they fall down

but will not die immediately. For susceptible insects, they will eventually die. But for resistant insects, after they are knocked down for a while, they will recover and soon be able to fly again after the pyrethroids entering their bodies are detoxified by their metabolism⁽⁸⁾. Since the insects in this method were continuously exposed to insecticides, they had no chance to recover, so it is not known if they were actually able to. In this study, the addition of PBO together with the application of insecticide tended to increase the knockdown rate for all three strains (Table 4), except in some cases where the knockdown rate was less or did not change. However, the results could not be concluded because there might be other factors to consider, such as the behavior of the mosquitoes⁽⁶⁾. According to Lee *et al.*,⁽⁶⁾ test animals that made contact more often with the surface of the test bottle would get more insecticides than animals that tended to fly or stand still on the surface; therefore, the knockdown effect would occur faster.

Pest Management and Resistance Problems

Based on the results of this study, we can see that different strains collected from different areas can develop resistance to different insecticides at different levels. These differences can be associated with the history of the use of insecticide compounds in these areas respectively.

Knowledge regarding the level and mechanisms of resistance occurring in a pest population is very important for integrated pest control, in order to decide which control method is effective, efficient, and won't encourage further resistance⁽¹³⁾.

Moreover, to obtain accurate information about resistance in a population, a

pure susceptible mosquito strain that has never been exposed to any insecticides is needed as the standard of comparison. In this study, the resistance status of the mosquitoes cannot be concluded because the standard mosquito populations from NAMRU-2 and IPB that should be susceptible are apparently already resistant to the insecticide tested.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Mr. Musphyanto Chalidaputra of PT Trimaran Sentosatama, Jakarta, who generously provided us with the insecticides used in this experiment

REFERENCES

1. Sulaiman, S., Z.A. Pawanchee, H.F. Othman, N. Shaari, S. Yahaya, A. Wahab, & S. Ismail. Field Evaluation of Cypermethrin and Cyfluthrin Against Dengue Vectors in a Housing Estate in Malaysia. *Journal of Vector Ecology* 2002; 27 (2): 230-234
2. Brogdon, W.G. & J.C. McAllister. Insecticide Resistance and Vector Control. *Emerging Infectious Disease* 1998; 4 (4) (Online)
3. Butar Butar, E.N.T. Uji Resistensi Nyamuk *Aedes aegypti* (L.) dari Kodya Bandung terhadap Berbagai Insektisida. Thesis. Department of Biology. Institut Teknologi Bandung; 1998.
4. Arief, R. Uji Resistensi *Aedes aegypti* (L.) dari Empat Kota di Jawa Barat terhadap Propoxur, Malation, Permetrin dan Esbiotrin. Thesis. Department of Biology. Institut Teknologi Bandung; 2000.
5. Chareonviriyaphap, T., P. Rongnoparur, & P. Juntarumporn. Selection for pyrethroid resistance in a colony of *Anopheles minimus* species A, a malaria vector in Thailand. *Journal of Vector Ecology* 2002; 27 (2): 222-229
6. Lee, C.Y., H.H. Yap, N.L. Chong, & R.S.T. Lee. Insecticide resistance and synergism in field collected German cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blatellidae) in Peninsular Malaysia.

- Bulletin of Entomological Research 1996; 86: 675-682
7. Martin, S.H., J.A. Ottea, B.R. Leonard, J.B. Graves, E. Burris, S. Micinski, & G.E. Church. Effects of Selected Synergists and Insecticide Toxicity in Tobacco Budworms (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Laboratory and Field Studies. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 1997; (3): 723-721
 8. Cochran, D.G. Effects of Three Synergists on Pyrethroid Resistance in the German Cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blatellidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 1994; 87 (4): 879-884
 9. CDC. Evaluating Mosquitoes for Insecticide Resistance.
<http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/wbt/resistance/assay/bottle/index.htm>
 10. Cochran, D.G. Changes in Insecticide Resistance Gene Frequencies in Field-Collected Populations of German Cockroaches during Extended Periods of Laboratory Culture (Dictyoptera: Blatellidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 1994; 87 (1): 1-6
 11. Robinson, W.H. *Urban Entomology: Insect and Mite Pests in the Human Environment*. Chapman & Hall. London; 1996.
 12. Coats, J.R. *Insecticide Mode of Action*. Academic Press. London; 1982.
 13. Liu, N. & X. Yue. Insecticide Resistance and Cross-Resistance in the House Fly (Diptera: Muscidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 2000; 93 (4): 1269-1275